Question 1

Intent of Question

The primary goals of this question were to assess a student’s ability to (1) describe features of a distribution of sample data using information provided by a histogram; (2) identify potential outliers; (3) sketch a boxplot; and (4) comment on an advantage of displaying data as a histogram rather than as a boxplot.

Solution

Part (a):

The distribution of the sample of room sizes is bimodal and roughly symmetric with most room sizes falling into two clusters: 100 to 200 square feet and 250 to 350 square feet. The center of the distribution is between 200 and 300 square feet. The range of the distribution is between 150 and 250 square feet. There are no apparent outliers.

Part (b):

The interquartile range is \( IQR = 292 - 174 = 118 \) square feet. There are no potential outliers because the minimum room size of 134 square feet does not fall below \( Q_1 - 1.5(IQR) = -3 \) square feet, and the maximum room size of 315 square feet does not exceed \( Q_3 + 1.5(IQR) = 469 \) square feet.

Part (c):

The histogram clearly shows the bimodal nature of the distribution of room sizes, but this is not apparent in the boxplot.
Scoring

This question is scored in three sections. Section 1 consists of part (a); Section 2 consists of the outlier determination in part (b); Section 3 consists of the boxplot sketch in part (b) and part (c). Each section is scored as essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I).

**Section 1** is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the description of the distribution of room sizes satisfies the following four components:

1. The shape is bimodal OR there are two peaks OR there are two clusters.
2. The center is between 200 and 300 square feet.
3. The spread is addressed by stating the range is a value between 150 and 250 square feet OR the interquartile range is a value between 50 and 150 square feet OR all room sizes are between 100 and 350 square feet.
4. The response includes context.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies two or three of the four components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

**Notes:**

- **Shape:** Component 1 cannot be satisfied if a response describes the histogram as unimodal or describes the entire histogram as normal or approximately normal.
- **Shape:** A response that addresses symmetry, while appropriate, does not impact the scoring of section 1.
- **Center:** A response that states one cluster of the distribution is centered between 150 and 200 square feet and the other cluster is centered between 250 and 300 square feet satisfies both components 1 and 2.
- **Center:**
  - Responses that address center using interval language such as “the mean of the distribution is between 200 and 300” must, for any single measure of center, provide an interval with lower endpoint not below 200 square feet, and with upper endpoint not above 300 square feet to satisfy component 2.
  - Responses that address center using approximate language such as “the median of the distribution is approximately 225” must, for any single measure of center, specify a numeric value that is not less than 200 square feet, and that is not greater than 300 square feet to satisfy component 2.
  - Responses that use definitive language such as “the mean of the distribution is 231.4” must identify the corresponding numeric value correctly to satisfy component 2. Specifically, the median of the distribution can be correctly identified as any value between 250 and 253.5 square feet, inclusive; the mean of the distribution is 231.4 square feet; and the center (or average) of the distribution can be any value that is a correct median or mean.
Spread: A response recognizing all values in the sample fall between 100 and 350 square feet (or between 134 and 315 square feet) satisfies component 3 only for these exact endpoints and need not appeal to a specific measure of spread such as range or interquartile range (IQR).

Spread:

- Responses that appeal to a specific measure of spread using interval language, such as “the IQR is between 50 and 150,” must provide bounds appropriate to the corresponding measure of spread. For range, the lower endpoint must not be below 150 square feet and the upper endpoint cannot exceed 250 square feet; for IQR, the lower endpoint must not be below 50 square feet, with upper endpoint not to exceed 150 square feet; for standard deviation, the lower endpoint must not be below 25 square feet, with upper endpoint not to exceed 100 square feet.

- Responses that appeal to a specific measure of spread using approximate language, such as “the range is approximately 250,” must specify a numeric value within the bounds appropriate to that measure of spread. For range, the value must be between 150 and 250 square feet (inclusive); for IQR, the value must be between 50 and 150 square feet (inclusive); for standard deviation, the value must be between 25 and 100 square feet (inclusive). Responses that appeal to a specific measure of spread using definitive language, such as “the range of the distribution is 181,” must identify the corresponding numeric value correctly to satisfy component 3. Specifically, the range of the distribution is 181 square feet; the IQR of the distribution is 118 square feet; and the standard deviation of the distribution is 68.12 square feet.

Section 2 is scored as follows:

**Essentially correct (E)** if the response satisfies the following three components:

1. Computation of both upper and lower outlier boundary fences that also shows the fences formulas either in words, symbols $Q_1 - 1.5 \times \text{IQR}$ and $Q_3 + 1.5 \times \text{IQR}$, or with values substituted from the table $174 - 1.5(118)$ and $292 + 1.5(118)$, or $(174 - 177)$ and $(292 + 177)$.
2. A correct decision regarding the presence of outliers.
3. Correct justification that compares the data with the fences.

**Partially correct (P)** if the response satisfies only two of the three components OR if the response omits exactly one of the fences but otherwise satisfies all three components.

**Incorrect (I)** if the response does not satisfy the requirements for E or P.

**Notes:**

- A response that identifies both fence formulas using symbols, but does not substitute values for all symbols, must also include the correct fence values of $-3$ and 469 to satisfy component 1.
- In place of an appeal to fences, a response may compute outlier bounds representing $k$ standard deviations from the sample mean, where $k$ is a number from 2 to 3 (inclusive), and must include formulas for both endpoints either in words, symbols $\bar{x} \pm k \times (\text{standard deviation})$, or with values substituted from the table. When $k = 2$ the outlier bounds are $(95.16, 367.64)$; when $k = 3$ the bounds are $(27.04, 435.76)$. 
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Question 1 (continued)

- A response that identifies the standard deviation bounds using symbols, but that does not substitute values for all symbols, does not satisfy component 1 unless the correct numeric bounds are provided.
- Component 3 is satisfied if the response states the outlier decision criterion: any data values falling outside of the interval from $-3$ to 469 are potential outliers.

Section 3 is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following two components:
1. A correct sketch of the boxplot.
2. A response for part (c) that indicates the bimodal shape of the room size distribution is apparent in the histogram but not in the boxplot.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only one of the two components.

Incorrect if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
- The boxplot must be completely correct to satisfy component 1. Specifically:
  - The minimum is positioned between grid lines at 120 and 140 square feet.
  - The median is positioned between grid lines at 240 and 260 square feet.
  - The maximum is positioned between grid lines at 300 and 320 square feet.
- If a mean is included as a part of the boxplot, component 1 cannot be satisfied.
- A response based on skewness or symmetry does not satisfy component 2.
- A response stating the unimodal OR normal shape of the histogram of room sizes is apparent in the histogram but not in the boxplot will satisfy component 2 only if the shape description in section 1 component 1 was also unimodal OR normal, respectively.
Question 1 (continued)

4 Complete Response

Three sections essentially correct

3 Substantial Response

Two sections essentially correct and one section partially correct

2 Developing Response

Two sections essentially correct and no sections partially correct

OR

One section essentially correct and one or two sections partially correct

OR

Three sections partially correct

1 Minimal Response

One section essentially correct

OR

No sections essentially correct and two sections partially correct
Question 2

Intent of Question

The primary goals of this question were to assess a student’s ability to (1) identify components of an experiment; (2) determine if an experiment has a control group; and (3) describe how experimental units can be randomly assigned to treatments.

Solution

Part (a):

Treatments: Sprays with four different concentrations of the fungus (0 ml/L, 1.25 ml/L, 2.5 ml/L, and 3.75 ml/L)

Experimental units: 20 containers, each containing the same number of insects

Response variable: Number of insects that are still alive in each container one week after spraying

Part (b):

Yes. Because the 0 ml/L concentration contains no fungus, the containers that are sprayed with the 0 ml/L concentration form the control group.

Part (c):

Label each container with a unique integer from 1 to 20. Then use a random number generator to choose 15 integers from 1 to 20 without replacement. Use the first five of these numbers to identify the five containers that will receive the 0 ml/L treatment. Use the second five of these numbers to identify the five containers that will receive the 1.25 ml/L treatment. Use the third five of these numbers to identify the five containers that will receive the 2.5 ml/L treatment. The remaining five containers will receive the 3.75 ml/L treatment.

(Alternative solution) Using 20 equally sized slips of paper, label five slips with 0 ml/L, five slips with 1.25 ml/L, five slips with 2.5 ml/L, and five slips with 3.75 ml/L. Mix the slips of paper in a hat. For each container, select a slip of paper from the hat (without replacement) and spray that container with the treatment selected.
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Question 2 (continued)

Scoring

Parts (a), (b), and (c) are each scored as essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I).

Part (a) is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following three components:
1. Identifies the 4 concentrations (or mixtures or sprays) as the treatments
2. Identifies the 20 containers as the experimental units
3. Identifies the number of insects that are still alive in each container as the response variable

Partially correct (P) if response satisfies only two of the three components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
- Listing the four treatments satisfies component 1 (including ml/L is not required). However, if the list does not include all four treatments, component 1 is not satisfied.
- To satisfy component 1, the response must refer to plural concentrations/mixtures/sprays (e.g., the mixtures, the levels of the concentration). Referring only to the explanatory variable (concentration) does not satisfy component 1.
- The following responses satisfy component 2: “the 20 containers”; “the containers”; “the 20 groups of insects”; or “the groups of insects in each container.” References to only “groups of insects” do not satisfy component 2 because it is unclear if these groups are formed by treatment or by container.
- To satisfy component 3, it must be clear that the response variable is being measured separately for each experimental unit. A response that says only “number of insects alive” does not satisfy component 3 because it could be referring to the total number of insects alive.
- To satisfy component 3, the response must be stated as a variable by using “number of” or equivalent. For example, “insects alive in each container” is not a variable and would not satisfy component 3.
- If the response states that the insects are the experimental units, then component 3 can still be satisfied by providing a binary response variable for each insect (e.g., whether the insect lived or died, survival status).
Question 2 (continued)

Part (b) is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response indicates that there is a control group and justifies this claim by
identifying the control group or by explaining that there is a treatment which contains no fungus.

Partially correct (P) if the response indicates that there is no control group because every container is sprayed
with some mixture

OR

if the response states that there is a control group but implies that 0 ml/L is not a treatment (e.g., “the
containers with 0 ml/L form a control group because they don’t receive a treatment”; “yes, there is a group
that got no treatment”).

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
• The response does not need to explain the purpose of a control group.
• The response does not need to explicitly say “yes”—it can be implied by stating that there is a control
group or saying “the control group is ….”

Part (c) is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following three components:
1. Creates appropriate labels for the units/treatments (e.g., label the containers from 1 through 20, label
20 slips of paper with five for each treatment)
2. Describes how to correctly implement the random assignment process
3. The random assignment process results in an equal number of experimental units assigned to each
treatment

Partially correct (P) if response satisfies only two of the three components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
• If the response states that insects are the experimental units in part (a), the response in part (c) can be
in terms of insects or containers. In either case, the same three components are used to determine the
score.
• If the response states that the containers are the experimental units in part (a), but only describes how
to assign insects to treatments in part (c), component 1 is not satisfied.
• For responses that use slips of paper:
  o If the number of slips of paper is not equal to the number of experimental units, then
    component 1 is not satisfied. The slips of paper do not need to be specifically identified as
    equally-sized.
  o If the slips of paper are not mixed/shuffled or the slips are not “selected at random,”
    component 2 is not satisfied. Sampling without replacement is implied when using slips of
    paper, unless the response specifies sampling with replacement.
Question 2 (continued)

- For responses that use random number generators (or a 20-sided die):
  - If the initial assignment of numbers to units does not give each unit the same probability of being assigned to each treatment (e.g., units are represented by different numbers of integers), then component 1 is not satisfied.
  - If the response does not indicate that the numbers are selected without replacement or that different numbers must be used, the response does not satisfy component 2. The response does not need to specify the interval of numbers from which they are selecting (e.g., randomly generate a number from 1 to 20).

- For responses that use a table of random digits:
  - If the initial assignment of numbers to units does not give each unit the same probability of being assigned to each treatment, component 1 is not satisfied. For example, responses that use the labels 1 to 20 (not 01 to 20) do not satisfy component 1 because label 1 has a \( \frac{1}{10} \) probability of being selected but label 20 has a \( \frac{1}{100} \) probability of being selected.
  - If the response does not indicate that the numbers are selected without replacement or that different numbers must be used, the response does not satisfy component 2. The response does not need to specify the interval of numbers from which they are selecting or state that the numbers corresponding to unused labels will be skipped (e.g., skip numbers 00 and 21 to 99).

- For responses that use a 4-sided die (or random integers from 1 to 4):
  - If the die is rolled for each experimental unit, then component 3 is not satisfied because an equal number of units per treatment is not guaranteed.
  - If the die is rolled for each experimental unit until treatments are “full,” then component 1 is not satisfied because this setup doesn’t allow for all possible random assignments to be equally likely (unless the order of the units is randomized initially).

- If a response groups the experimental units before any random assignment (e.g., forms five groups of four containers or four groups of five containers), and then randomly assigns treatments to the groups or randomly assigns treatments within each group, component 1 is not satisfied. However, if a response forms groups in the context of a randomized block design with a reasonable blocking variable, component 1 can be satisfied.

- If a response describes two different random assignment processes in detail (e.g., how to randomly assign insects to containers and how to assign containers to treatments), both descriptions are scored according to the three components and the lower score is used.

- Responses that assign experimental units only to groups and not to treatments (e.g., randomly select five containers and put them in group 1) do not satisfy component 3.

- If the response randomly assigns insects to containers, the containers must be assigned to a treatment to satisfy component 3. In this case, the assignment of treatment to container does not need to be at random to satisfy component 3.
Question 2 (continued)

4 Complete Response

Three parts essentially correct

3 Substantial Response

Two parts essentially correct and one part partially correct

2 Developing Response

Two parts essentially correct and no parts partially correct

OR

One part essentially correct and one or two parts partially correct

OR

Three parts partially correct

1 Minimal Response

One part essentially correct

OR

No parts essentially correct and two parts partially correct
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Question 3

Intent of Question

The primary goals of this question were to assess a student’s ability to (1) use information from a two-way table of relative frequencies to compute joint, marginal, and conditional probabilities; (2) recognize whether two events are independent; and (3) compute a probability for a binomial distribution.

Solution

Part (a):

(i) \( P(\text{never and woman}) = 0.0636 \)

(ii) \( P(\text{never or woman}) = P(\text{never}) + P(\text{woman}) - P(\text{never and woman}) \)

\[ = 0.12 + 0.53 - 0.0636 \]

\[ = 0.5864 \]

(iii) \( P(\text{never | woman}) = \frac{P(\text{never and woman})}{P(\text{woman})} = \frac{0.0636}{0.53} = 0.12 \)

Part (b):

Yes, the event of being a person who responds never is independent of the event of being a woman because

\[ P(\text{never | woman}) = P(\text{never}) = 0.12. \]

Part (c):

Define \( X \) as the number of people in a random sample of five people who always take their medicine as prescribed. Then \( X \) has a binomial distribution with \( n = 5 \) and \( p = 0.54 \), and

\[ P(X \geq 4) = \binom{5}{4}(0.54)^4(0.46)^1 + \binom{5}{5}(0.54)^5(0.46)^0 \approx 0.19557 + 0.04592 \approx 0.24149. \]

Scoring

Parts (a), (b), and (c) are each scored as essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I).

Part (a) is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response reports correct values of the probabilities for (i), (ii), and (iii).

Partially correct (P) if only one or two of the probabilities are correct.

Incorrect (I) if none of the probabilities are correct.

Notes:

- Assuming independence for events never and woman in (i) without referencing the result in part (b) does not satisfy (i).
- Alternative solutions for (ii) include \( 0.0564 + 0.0636 + 0.1384 + 0.3280 + 0.5864 \) and \( 0.0564 + 0.53 = 0.5864 \).
Part (b) is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response indicates that the events are independent, gives an explanation of independence using the events in the problem, AND provides appropriate justification using numbers from the table.

Note: Examples of valid explanations with appropriate justifications include:
- \( P(\text{woman and never}) = 0.0636 \) is the same as \( P(\text{woman}) \times P(\text{never}) = (0.53)(0.12) = 0.0636 \).
- \( P(\text{never} \mid \text{woman}) = \frac{0.0636}{0.53} = 0.12 \) is the same as \( P(\text{never}) = 0.12 \).
- \( P(\text{woman} \mid \text{never}) = \frac{0.0636}{0.12} = 0.53 \) is the same as \( P(\text{woman}) = 0.53 \).

Partially correct (P) if the response indicates that the events are independent AND gives an explanation of independence using the events in the problem but does not provide justification using numbers from the table OR if the response uses a correct method of illustrating that events are independent but makes an arithmetic mistake or a transcription mistake that results in concluding that these two events are not independent.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy requirements for E or P.

Part (c) is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following three components:
1. Clearly indicates a binomial distribution with \( n = 5 \) and \( p = 0.54 \).
2. Indicates the correct boundary value and direction of the event.
3. Reports the correct probability.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies component 1 but it does not satisfy one or both of the other two components OR if the response does not satisfy component 1 but both of the other two components are satisfied.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.
Notes:

- The response $B(5, 0.54)$ satisfies component 1.
- Components 1 and 2 are satisfied by displaying the correct formula for computing the binomial probability using the correct values for $n$ and $p$, e.g.,

$$\binom{5}{4}(0.54)^4(0.46)^1 + \binom{5}{5}(0.54)^5(0.46)^0$$

- Only component 1 is satisfied if the correct binomial distribution is used in an incorrect probability formula, e.g.,

$$\binom{5}{4}(0.54)^4(0.46)^1$$

- For component 2, the boundary value and direction may be described in words, e.g., $P(\text{at least four people})$.
- Component 2 may be satisfied by displaying a bar graph of a binomial distribution with the appropriate bars shaded.
- The response of $1 - \text{binomcdf}(n = 5, p = 0.54, \text{upper bound} = 3) = 0.24$ is scored E since $n$, $p$, and the boundary value are clearly identified.
- The response of $1 - \text{binomcdf}(n = 5, p = 0.54, 3) = 0.24$ is scored P since $n$ and $p$ are clearly identified and the boundary value is not identified.
- The response of $1 - \text{binomcdf}(5, 0.54, 3) = 0.24$ is scored I.
- A normal approximation to the binomial is not appropriate since $np = 5 \times 0.54 = 2.7$ and $2.7 < 5$.

A response using the normal approximation can score at most P. To score P, the response must include all of the following:
- an indication that the probability calculated is a normal approximation for the binomial probability
- a correct mean and standard deviation based on the binomial parameters
- clear indication of boundary and direction with a $z$-score or diagram
- the probability computed correctly.

An example of a response which meets these four criteria is

$$P\left(Z \geq \frac{4 - np}{\sqrt{np(1 - p)}}\right) = P\left(Z \geq \frac{4 - (5)(0.54)}{\sqrt{(5)(0.54)(0.46)}}\right) \approx 0.1217,$$

and the binomial distribution is mentioned.
Question 3 (continued)

4 Complete Response
Three parts essentially correct

3 Substantial Response
Two parts essentially correct and one part partially correct

2 Developing Response
Two parts essentially correct and no parts partially correct

OR
One part essentially correct and one or two parts partially correct

OR
Three parts partially correct

1 Minimal Response
One part essentially correct

OR
No parts essentially correct and two parts partially correct
Intent of Question:

The primary goals of this question were to assess a student’s ability to perform an appropriate hypothesis test to address a particular question. More specific goals were to assess students’ ability to state appropriate hypotheses, identify the appropriate statistical test procedure, check appropriate assumptions/conditions for inference; calculate a correct test statistic and p-value; and draw a correct conclusion, with justification, in the context of the study.

Solution

Section 1:

Let $p_{14}$ represent the proportion of the population of kochia plants in the western United States that were resistant to glyphosate in 2014. Let $p_{17}$ represent the proportion of the population of kochia plants in the western United States that were resistant to glyphosate in 2017.

The null hypothesis $H_0 : p_{17} - p_{14} = 0$ is to be tested against the alternative hypothesis $H_a : p_{17} - p_{14} > 0$.

An appropriate inference procedure is a two-sample $z$-test for a difference in proportions. The formula for the test statistic is:

$$z = \frac{\hat{p}_{17} - \hat{p}_{14}}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_c(1 - \hat{p}_c)}{n_{17}} + \frac{\hat{p}_c(1 - \hat{p}_c)}{n_{14}}}}$$

where $\hat{p}_c = \frac{n_{14}\hat{p}_{14} + n_{17}\hat{p}_{17}}{n_{14} + n_{17}}$ is a pooled estimate of the proportion of resistant plants for 2014 and 2017 combined.

Section 2:

The first condition for applying the test is that the data are gathered from independent random samples from the populations of kochia plants in the western United States in 2014 and 2017. The question indicates that a random sample of 61 kochia plants was taken in 2014 and a second random sample of 52 kochia plants was taken in 2017. It is reasonable to assume that the 2017 sample of plants was in no way influenced by the 2014 sample of plants.

The second condition is that the sampling distribution of the test statistic is approximately normal. This condition is satisfied because the expected counts under the null hypothesis are all greater than 10. The pooled estimate of the proportion of resistant plants is $\hat{p}_c = \frac{(61)(0.197) + (52)(0.385)}{61 + 52} \approx 0.2835$. The estimates of the expected counts are

$61(0.2835) \approx 17.29$, $61(1 - 0.2835) \approx 43.71$, $52(0.2835) \approx 14.74$, $52(1 - 0.2835) \approx 37.26$, all of which are greater than 10.

Because sampling must have been done without replacement, the independence condition for each sample should be checked. Information on the population sizes of kochia plants is not given for either 2014 or 2017, but it is reasonable to assume that each population has millions of plants. Therefore it is reasonable to assume that the sample sizes are less than 10 percent of the respective population sizes.
Question 4 (continued)

Using the pooled estimate of the proportion of resistant plants, \( \hat{p}_c \approx 0.2835 \), the value of the test statistic is:

\[
z = \frac{0.385 - 0.197}{\sqrt{\frac{(0.2835)(0.7165)}{61} + \frac{(0.2835)(0.7165)}{52}}} \approx 2.21
\]

The \( p \)-value is 0.0135.

Section 3:

Because the \( p \)-value is less than \( \alpha = 0.05 \), there is convincing statistical evidence to conclude that the proportion of resistant plants in the 2017 population of kochia plants is greater than the proportion of resistant plants in the 2014 population of kochia plants.

Scoring

Sections 1, 2, and 3 are each scored as essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I).

Section 1 is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies components 1 and 4 AND at least one of the remaining components:
1. Hypotheses imply equality of proportions in the null hypothesis and correct direction in the alternative hypothesis, which utilize an appropriate population parameter in words or symbols.
2. Identifies parameters that are population proportions.
3. Both parameters are correctly defined as proportions of resistant plants in 2014 and 2017.
4. The two-sample \( z \)-test for proportions is identified by name or formula

Partially correct (P) if the response does not meet the requirement for E, but at least two of the components are satisfied.

Incorrect if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
- Correct ways to state the null hypothesis that satisfy component 1:
  \[
  H_0 : p_{17} = p_{14} \text{ or } H_0 : p_{17} - p_{14} = 0
  \]
  \[
  H_0 : p_{17} \leq p_{14} \text{ or } H_0 : p_{17} - p_{14} \leq 0
  \]
  \[
  H_0 : p_{14} \geq p_{17} \text{ or } H_0 : p_{14} - p_{17} \geq 0
  \]
Correct ways to state the alternative hypothesis that satisfy component 1:
\[
H_a : p_{17} > p_{14} \text{ or } H_a : p_{17} - p_{14} > 0
\]
\[
H_a : p_{14} < p_{17} \text{ or } H_a : p_{14} - p_{17} < 0
\]

Incorrect ways to state the null hypothesis that do not satisfy component 1:
\[
H_0 : p_{17} < p_{14} \text{ or } H_0 : p_{17} - p_{14} < 0
\]
\[
H_0 : p_{14} > p_{17} \text{ or } H_0 : p_{14} - p_{17} > 0
\]

Incorrect ways to state the alternative hypothesis that do not satisfy component 1:
\[
H_a : p_{17} \neq p_{14} \text{ or } H_a : p_{17} - p_{14} \neq 0
\]
\[
H_a : p_{17} < p_{14} \text{ or } H_a : p_{17} - p_{14} < 0
\]
Examples for components 2 and 3:
  o Satisfies both components 2 and 3:
    • $p_{17}$ is the proportion of resistant plants
    • $p_{14}$ is the proportion of resistant plants
  o Satisfies component 2 but not component 3:
    • $p_1$ is the proportion of resistant plants
    • $p_2$ is the proportion of resistant plants
    • $p_{17}$ is the proportion of plants
    • $p_{14}$ is the proportion of plants
    • $p_{17}, p_{14}$
    • $p_1, p_2$
  • If the test is correctly identified by name, but then an incorrect formula is stated, this is considered to
    be a parallel response and component 4 is not satisfied.
  • If the test identifies an unpooled two sample $z$-test for a difference in proportions as the correct test or
    formula, component 4 is satisfied.

Section 2 is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies components 1 and 2 AND at least two of the remaining
components:
  1. Notes that the use of random samples in 2014 and 2017 satisfies the randomness condition.
  2. Checks for approximate normality of the test statistic by showing that the expected numbers of
     resistant and non-resistant kochia plants are both larger than some commonly accepted criterion (e.g.
     5 or 10) for both samples.
  3. Notes that the populations of kochia plants must be extremely large in both years, thus satisfies the
     independence (10%) conditions.
  4. Reports a correct value of the $z$-test statistic.
  5. Reports a $p$-value that is consistent with the stated alternative hypothesis and reported test statistic.

Partially correct (P) if the response does not meet the criteria for E, but at least two of the five components
are satisfied.

Incorrect if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
  • For the randomness component it is minimally acceptable to say “random samples—check” or
    “SRSs—check.” The important concept is that the study used two independent random samples.
    Although it is not known if a SRS was taken versus another type of random sample, it is minimally
    acceptable to indicate SRSs since the sampling method is unknown. If the response implies that
    random assignment was used, the randomness component is not satisfied.
To satisfy component 2, the response must include actual numbers, or a formula with numbers plugged in, as well as a clear indication of comparison of the four quantities to some standard criterion, such as 5 or 10, or the statement that each such quantity is large enough. If a formula with numbers is used, simplification is NOT required.

Examples of acceptable quantities (comparison still must be made):
- 12, 49, 20, 32
- 12.017, 48.983, 20.02, 31.98
- 61(0.197), 61(1 − 0.197), 52(0.385), 52(1 − 0.385)

Examples of unacceptable quantities:
- \( n_7 \hat{p}_{17}, n_7 (1 − \hat{p}_{17}), n_{14} \hat{p}_{14}, n_{14} (1 − \hat{p}_{14}) \)
- \( n_7 p_{17}, n_7 (1 − p_{17}), n_{14} p_{14}, n_{14} (1 − p_{14}) \)
- \( n_7 \hat{p}_c, n_7 (1 − \hat{p}_c), n_{14} \hat{p}_c, n_{14} (1 − \hat{p}_c) \)
- \( 61\hat{p}_c, 61(1 − \hat{p}_c), 52\hat{p}_c, 52(1 − \hat{p}_c) \)

- The test statistics for the pooled and unpoool z-tests are 2.21 and 2.22 respectively, thus they are close to the same value. If the response provides the unpoool formula but then states a pooled test statistic, component 4 is satisfied. If the response provides the pooled formula but then states an unpoool test statistic, component 4 is satisfied.
- If the response uses a critical value approach rather than a p-value approach, then the correct critical value of \(-1.645\) or \(1.645\), that is consistent with the alternative hypothesis, satisfies component 5.
- If the response did not satisfy component 1 in section 1 because a two-tailed alternative was stated or the direction of the alternative was incorrect, then the p-value in component 5 should be consistent with the stated alternative. If the response omits hypotheses or other incorrect hypotheses are stated, assume the correct alternative hypothesis is provided when scoring component 5.

Section 3 is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response includes the following three components:
1. Provides justification of the conclusion based on a correct comparison between a stated p-value and an alpha value of 0.05.
2. Provides a correct conclusion consistent with the alternative hypothesis.
3. The conclusion is stated in context.

Partially correct (P)
if the response satisfies components 1 and 2

OR

if the response satisfies components 2 and 3

OR

if the response satisfies components 1 and 3 AND, based on the p-value from section 2, either
- the conclusion correctly rejects the null hypothesis but does not state that there is convincing evidence for the alternative hypothesis
  OR
- the conclusion correctly fails to reject the null hypothesis but does not state there is not convincing evidence for the alternative hypothesis.
Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
- If the conclusion is consistent with a reasonable, but incorrect, \( p \)-value from section 2, and is presented in context with justification based on comparison of the \( p \)-value to the level of significance, then section 3 is scored E.
- If the response implies that the outcome of the hypothesis test is a “proof” of either a true or false null, the score is lowered one level (that is, from E to P, or from P to I).
- If an incorrect interpretation of the \( p \)-value is given, the score is lowered one level (that is, from E to P, or from P to I).
- If the response uses a critical value approach rather than a \( p \)-value approach, then the correct critical value of 1.645 replaces the \( p \)-value in section 2, and comparison of the test statistic from section 2 to the critical value (e.g. \( 2.21 > 1.645 \)) satisfies component 1.
- If the response clearly states a reasonable level of significance that differs from 0.05 and provides a justification and conclusion in context based on that justification, the response is scored E.
- If the response provides the incorrect comparison between the stated \( p \)-value and the level of significance, but the conclusion is consistent with the given comparison and the alternative hypothesis, then component 2 is satisfied.
- If the response did not satisfy component 1 in section 1 because a two-tailed alternative was stated or the direction of the alternative was incorrect, then the conclusion component 2 should be consistent with the stated alternative. If the response states other incorrect hypotheses or omits hypotheses, assume the correct alternative hypothesis is provided when scoring component 2.
Alternative Approach
Two-Sided Confidence Interval for Difference in Two Population Proportions

Section 1 is scored E, P, or I according to the guidelines in section 1 for a 2 sample z-test for proportions.

Notes:
- To satisfy component 4, the two-sample confidence interval for a difference in two proportions should be identified by name or formula by referring to the z-distribution and two proportions.

Section 2 is scored as follows:
Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies components 1 and 2 AND at least one of the remaining components:

1. Notes that the use of random samples in 2014 and 2017 satisfies the randomness condition.
2. Checks for approximate normality of the test statistic by showing that the observed numbers of resistant and non-resistant kochia plants are both larger than some commonly accepted criterion (say 5 or 10) for both samples.
3. Notes that the populations of kochia plants must be extremely large in both years, thus satisfies the independence (10%) conditions.
4. Reports the correct confidence interval that is consistent with the stated alternative hypothesis.

Partially correct (P) if the response does not meet the criteria for E, but at least two of the four components are satisfied.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
- Examples of correct 90% confidence intervals to address a one-sided alternative for $\alpha = 0.05$ are:
  $(-0.327, -0.049)$
  $(0.049, 0.327)$
- Examples of correct 95% confidence intervals to address a two-sided alternative for $\alpha = 0.05$ are:
  $(-0.353, -0.022)$
  $(0.022, 0.353)$

Section 3 is scored E, P or I according to the guidelines in section 3 for a 2 sample z-test for proportions.

Notes:
- Component 1 is satisfied if a confidence interval that is consistent with the alternative hypothesis is given and the appropriate interval endpoint(s) are compared to zero.

Overall Notes:
- If the response constructs two separate one-proportion z-intervals for 2014 and 2017, then sections 1 and 2 are scored as above, and section 3 is scored I.
Alternative Approach
Chi-square test for homogeneity

The value of the Pearson chi-square test statistic (uncorrected) is 4.8821 with 1 degree of freedom and a \(p\)-value of 0.02714. This value is the same as the square of the pooled \(z\)-statistic, so it is the same test, but the \(p\)-value is for a two-sided alternative. This \(p\)-value could be divided by 2 to obtain an appropriate \(p\)-value for the one-sided alternative, but the sample data needs to be examined to determine the correct direction of the alternative.

**Section 1** is scored E, P, or I according to the guidelines in section 1 for a 2 sample \(z\)-test for proportions.

**Notes:**
- Examples of unacceptable hypotheses:
  - \(H_0 : p_{17} \text{ and } p_{14} \text{ are independent or } H_0 : p_{17} \text{ and } p_{14} \text{ have no association}\)
  - \(H_a : p_{17} \text{ and } p_{14} \text{ are dependent or } H_a : p_{17} \text{ and } p_{14} \text{ have an association}\)
  - \(H_a : p_{17} \neq p_{14} \text{ or } H_a : p_{17} \geq p_{14} \text{ or } H_a : p_{14} \leq p_{17}\)
- To satisfy component 4, a chi-square test for homogeneity is identified by name or formula.

**Section 2** is scored E, P, or I according to the guidelines in section 2 for a 2 sample \(z\)-test for proportions.

**Notes:**
- To satisfy component 4, the reported value of the chi-square test statistic is 4.8821.
- If a one sided alternative hypothesis is given in section 1, then to satisfy component 5, the reported value of the \(p\)-value is 0.01357.
- If a two sided alternative hypothesis is given in section 1, then to satisfy component 5, the reported \(p\)-value is 0.02714.

**Section 3** is scored E, P or I according to the guidelines in section 3 for a 2 sample \(z\)-test for proportions.

**Notes:**
- If the response clearly indicates that the two sample proportions were used to determine the correct one-sided direction and a \(p\)-value of 0.0135 was used as justification, section 3 is scored E.
- If a correct conclusion is reached based on the \(p\)-value of 0.02714 and a two-sided alternative, then section 3 is scored at most P.
- If the final response justifies the conclusion based on the \(p\)-value of 0.0135 but does not explicitly indicate how the correct direction was determined, section 3 is scored at most P.
Question 4 (continued)

4  Complete Response
   Three sections essentially correct

3  Substantial Response
   Two sections essentially correct and one section partially correct

2  Developing Response
   Two sections essentially correct and no sections partially correct
   OR
   One section essentially correct and one or two sections partially correct
   OR
   Three sections partially correct

1  Minimal Response
   One section essentially correct
   OR
   No section essentially correct and two sections partially correct
AP® STATISTICS
2019 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 5

Intent of Question

The primary goals of this question were to assess a student’s ability to (1) evaluate a percentile of a normal distribution; (2) evaluate a probability for a normal distribution; and (3) compute an expected value for a random variable with two possible outcomes.

Solution

Part (a):

The 25th percentile of the standard normal distribution is −0.6745. Consequently the 25th percentile of a normal distribution with mean 30 months and standard deviation 8 months is $30 + 8(-0.6745) = 24.6$ months.

Part (b):

The probability that a randomly selected customer will need to request a replacement because the battery fails within 24 months from the date of purchase is

$$P(\text{life span} \leq 24 \text{ months}) = P\left(Z \leq \frac{24 - 30}{8}\right) = P\left(Z \leq -0.75\right) \approx 0.2266.$$  

Part (c):

The company’s expected gain for each warranty purchased is

$$(50) \times P(\text{life span} > 24 \text{ months}) + (-150) \times P(\text{life span} \leq 24 \text{ months})$$

$$= (50) \times (0.7734) + (-150) \times (0.2266) \approx 4.68.$$  

Scoring

Parts (a), (b), and (c) are each scored as essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I).

Part (a) is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following three components:

1. Indicates the use of a normal distribution with a mean of 30 and a standard deviation of 8.
2. Sets up a correct approach for finding the 25th percentile of the battery life span distribution.
3. Reports the correct value of the 25th percentile (24.6) or a percentile value that is consistent with components 1 and 2.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only two of the three components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Notes:

- Component 1 may be satisfied in either part (a) or (b).
- Incorrect statistical notation in specifying the distribution mean or standard deviation (for example, $\bar{x} = 30$ or $s = 8$) results in Component 1 not being met the first time it appears.
Question 5 (continued)

- Component 1 may be satisfied by one of the following:
  - Graphical: Displaying a graph of a normal density function with the horizontal axis clearly labeled using the mean and standard deviation for the battery life span distribution.
  - Calculator syntax: Labeling the mean and standard deviation in an inverse normal cdf calculator statement, for example, \( \text{invNorm}(0.25, \mu = 30, \sigma = 8) \).
  - \( z \)-score: Showing correct components in a standard \( z \)-score calculation, for example, \( z = \frac{x - 30}{8} \), or \( -0.6745 = \frac{x - 30}{8} \), or \( x = 30 + 8(-0.6745) \).
  - Notation: Using standard notation for a normal distribution, for example, \( N(30, 8) \) or \( \text{Normal}(30, 64) \).

- Component 2 may be satisfied by one of the following:
  - Graphical: Identifying the lower-tail area corresponding to the probability of 0.25 in a graph of a normal density function.
  - Calculator syntax: Stating the correct percentile in an inverse normal cdf calculator statement, for example, \( \text{invNorm}(0.25, \mu = 30, \sigma = 8) \).
  - \( z \)-score: Equating the \( z \)-score of the 25th percentile of the battery life span distribution to \( -0.6745 \), for example, \( -0.6745 = \frac{x - 30}{8} \) or \( x = 30 + 8(-0.6745) \).

Part (b) is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following three components:
1. Indicates the use of a normal distribution with a mean of 30 and a standard deviation of 8.
2. Specifies the correct event, including the correct boundary value and direction.
3. Reports the correct probability (0.2266) or a probability consistent with components 1 and 2.

Partially correct (P) if response satisfies only two of the three components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Notes:
- Component 1 may be satisfied in either part (a) or (b).
  - Incorrect statistical notation in specifying the distribution mean or standard deviation (for example, \( \bar{x} = 30 \) or \( s = 8 \)) results in Component 1 not being met the first time it appears.
- Component 1 may be satisfied by one of the following:
  - Graphical: Displaying a graph of a normal density function with the horizontal axis clearly labeled using the mean and standard deviation for the battery life span distribution.
  - Calculator syntax: Labeling the mean and standard deviation in a normal cdf calculator statement, for example, \( \text{normalcdf}(0, \text{upper} = 24, \mu = 30, \sigma = 8) \).
  - \( z \)-score: Showing correct components in a standard \( z \)-score calculation, for example, \( z = \frac{x - 30}{8} \), or \( z = \frac{24 - 30}{8} \), or \( \frac{24 - 30}{8} = -0.75 \).
  - Notation: Using standard notation for a normal distribution, for example, \( N(30, 8) \) or \( \text{Normal}(30, 64) \).
Component 2 may be satisfied by one of the following:
- **Graphical**: A normal density graph labeled with boundary and direction corresponding to the region of interest.
- **Calculator syntax**: Labeling the upper bound in a normal cdf calculator statement. The lower bound does not need to be labeled but must be less than or equal to 0, for example, \( \text{normalcdf}(0, \text{upper} = 24, \mu = 30, \sigma = 8) \).
- **In words**: Specifying the correct event, boundary value, and direction, in words: for example, \( P(\text{battery life span} \leq 24 \text{ months}) \), or “probability requires battery replacement within 24 months.”
- **Random variable**: Specifying the boundary value and direction using a random variable, for example, \( P(X \leq 24) \) or \( P(Z \leq -0.75) \).

**Part (c)** is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following two components:
1. Provides an expected value calculation with two appropriate probabilities that add to 1 and are paired with the correct outcomes.
2. Reports the correct expected value (4.68), or a reasonable expected value consistent with work shown.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only one of the two components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

**Notes:**
- For component 1, appropriate probabilities that add to 1 are:
  - probabilities consistent with the value computed in part (b); or
  - the correct probabilities; or
  - if there is no probability provided in part (b), probabilities explicitly defined in context in part (c).
- If the correct probability is used, due to rounding considerations, any number between 4.00 and 4.70 is acceptable as a correct expected value for component 2.
- A reasonable expected value for component 2 can be any number between \(-$150\) and \(+$50\) that is consistent with work shown.
Question 5 (continued)

4  Complete Response
   Three parts essentially correct

3  Substantial Response
   Two parts essentially correct and one part partially correct

2  Developing Response
   Two parts essentially correct and no parts partially correct
   OR
   One part essentially correct and one or two parts partially correct
   OR
   Three parts partially correct

1  Minimal Response
   One part essentially correct
   OR
   No parts essentially correct and two parts partially correct
Question 6

Intent of Question

The primary goals of this question were to assess a student’s ability to (1) recognize the population to which results from a random sample may be generalized; (2) describe a disadvantage of using a sample mean rather than a sample median to indicate typical values when the sample distribution is skewed; (3) describe how the theoretical sampling distribution of the sample median could be constructed; (4) construct an approximate confidence interval for a population median using results from a bootstrap procedure; and (5) interpret a confidence interval.

Solution

Part (a):

Because random sampling was used, the results of the sample may be generalized to the population of rental prices for one-bedroom apartments in the city that are listed on this particular website at the time the sample was taken.

Part (b):

Because the distribution of the 50 rental prices in the sample is skewed to the right, the sample median provides a better indicator of typical rental prices than the sample mean. Some very large rental prices results in a sample mean that is substantially larger than the more typical rental prices. As a result the sample mean would overestimate the typical rental price, whereas the sample median would be a more accurate representation of typical rental prices.

Part (c):

To determine the sampling distribution of median rental prices for random samples of 50 one-bedroom apartments from this population, Emma would need to obtain every possible sample of 50 one-bedroom apartments from this website and compute the median of each sample. The collection of all possible sample medians is the theoretical sampling distribution for sample median.

Part (d):

(i) \((0.05)(15,000) = 750\) and \((0.95)(15,000) = 14,250\). The 5th percentile is a value, say \(x_{0.05}\), such that at least 750 values in the table are less than or equal to \(x_{0.05}\) and at least 14,250 are greater than or equal to \(x_{0.05}\). Cumulate frequencies starting with the smallest sample median listed in the table and going toward the largest (going down columns) until you first reach 750 values, to obtain \(x_{0.05} = 2,500\).

(ii) Similarly, \(x_{0.95} = 2,950\).

Part (e):

The percentage of bootstrap medians between (and including) the values found in part (d) for the 5th and 95th percentiles is

\[
\frac{14,404}{15,000} \times 100\% \approx 96.03\%
\]
Part (f):

From the results in part (d) and part (e), an approximate 96 percent confidence interval for the median rental price of all one-bedroom apartments listed on this website for this city is $(2,500, 2,950)$. We are approximately 96 percent confident that the median rental price of all one-bedroom apartments listed on this website for this city is between $2,500 and $2,950.

Scoring

This question is scored in four sections. Section 1 consists of parts (a) and (b), section 2 consists of part (c), section 3 consists of parts (d) and (e), and section 4 consists of part (f). Sections 1, 2, 3, and 4 are each scored as essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I).

Section 1 is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following three components:
1. The correct population (listings of one-bedroom apartments on the website) is identified in part (a).
2. In part (b), identifying that using the sample mean instead of the sample median overestimates the typical rental price.
3. The disadvantage of using the sample mean that is reported in part (b) is correctly linked to some feature of the distribution (e.g. skewness) that is evident in the histogram.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only two of the three components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Note: Responses that refer to the mean being larger than the median in a skewed right distribution alone is not sufficient to satisfy component 2.

Section 2 is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following two components:
1. Indicates that Emma would need to obtain every possible sample of 50 one-bedroom apartments.
2. Indicates that Emma would need to compute the median rental price for each sample.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only one of the two components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

Section 3 is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following two components:
1. Correct values for the 5th percentile and the 95th percentile are reported in part (d).
2. The correct percentage of bootstrap samples that produced sample medians at or between the two values, if they are plausible, reported in part (d) is reported in part (e).

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only one of the two components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

Note: Plausible values for part (d) will be considered values between 2,345 and 3,062.5.
Section 4 is scored as follows:

Essentially correct if the response in part (f) satisfies the following three components:

1. Uses $2500 and $2950 or the values of the percentiles reported in part (d) as the endpoints of the confidence interval.
2. Indicates an approximate 90 or 96 percent level of confidence or a level of confidence consistent with part (e).
3. Makes a correct statement in context indicating that the confidence interval is for the median.

Partially correct if the response satisfies only two of the three components.

Incorrect if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

Note: Since rental prices from the population are discrete values, the true confidence level of the interval from part (d) is unknown. A correctly calculated part (e) is a way to estimate the confidence level; from Emma’s sample the confidence level is estimated to be approximately 96 percent. The process described in part (d) for calculating the interval will result in a confidence level of at least 90 percent. For these reasons, confidence levels of either 90 or 96 percent satisfy component 2.

Each essentially correct (E) section counts as 1 point, and a partially correct (P) section counts as ½ point.

4 Complete Response
3 Substantial Response
2 Developing Response
1 Minimal Response

If a response is between two scores (for example, 2½ points), use a holistic approach to decide whether to score up or down depending on the strength of the response and communication.